Preserve

1927-8

App F IX 4

File No 169-27

(ML)

Previous References
ML 73-24 Sls 5+6

Serial Nos. 5, 9, 11

Later References
Secy no L 92 of 27-28
ML 308-28 Secy to GB Apr 29
ML 152-29 of 1 to 7
ML 69-32 Sls 1 to 7

General and Revenue Secretariat.

L-11517/mf 1 4

Serial Nos Five

Part B for March 1928

SUBJECT

Re Conveyance allowance to the P City municipality Bangalore

L 5666/Dy 15-3-1928 to the Mysore Govt-
Rules that the allowance in G.O.No L 4893-4 Dy 10-2-1928 is Conveyance allowance

Copy no L 5667/Dy 15-3-28 to the municipal Comm Bangalore City

2 Recd 3-1928

3510d

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Cul File No 169 of 1927

Serial No	
	Letter no of 20/1/28 from the Hon'ble Mr. Advocate forwarding a copy of the notes issued to the President of Bangalore regarding the resolution of the Municipality to give an allowance of Rs 300/- to the President
	Letter of 23/1/28 from the Municipal Commissioner forwarding a copy of the notes with certain correspondence that took place between him and the President
5	Letter no C 1-472 of 28/1/28 from the Municipal Commissioner the President forwarding for orders of Govt extracts of the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council on 7th & 16th inst relating to the grant of an allowance to the President.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

WD 6884—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

G R.S III—31

Routine Notes

[~~For use of S.S. only for notes~~, requisitions etc, not intended for permanent record.]

6 ~~Petition~~ Notice dt 31/1/28 from the Transport Secy Bangalore Cy forwarding a copy of his notice to the President mil ct Bangalore Cy stating that the proceedings & the meeting of the mil ct on 16th Jan 1928 are invalid and ~~if any action~~ a suit will be filed in the civil court if ~~no~~ the proceedings are not declared invalid

A similar notice had been received on which it was ordered that Govt are not competent to decide the validity of the proceedings If approved this will also be placed in Court to be

16/2

16/2

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 169 of 1927

Serial No

PWD I

submitted for perusal

In this connection kind reference is invited to Section 24 (A) of the Municipal - Regulation that the President should be bound to transmit communication addressed through him by the Commissioner to the Government It is not stated by the President in the covering that he would not forward but wanted the resolution also to be sent up

PWD II

3 The printed agenda of the previous meeting held on 7th January 1923 has not been forwarded to see whether the resolution regarding allowance was not a new subject From a perusal of the proceedings of the ~~January 1928~~ meeting held on 7th January 1923 it is seen that the question regarding conveyance allowance was on the Agenda and in the proceedings of the ~~meeting~~ meeting held on 16th January 1928 a proposition was - brought by Mr B N Naidu that an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be sanctioned ~~sanctioned~~ to the President with effect from the date of his assuming of ice and that the same be in ~~force~~ force during the life time of the Council The main point seems to be whether this proposition of Mr Naidu is a new one and whether the - resolution in regard to it is null and void On this point the Legal Remembrancer may perhaps

NOTE —Contn sheet

WD 0824—GPB—60 000—24 5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 169 of 1927

Serial No.

have to be consulted

4 In the G O of L.6429-30/Ml.73-24-6 dated 5th March 1925 a conveyance allowance of Rs 250/- ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ per mensem was sanctioned by - Govt to the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Council, ~~xxx~~ The present resolution of the Council is that an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be - sanctioned to the President with effect from the date of his assuming charge.

Submitted for orders

CHIEF SECRETARY. 30 JAN. 1928

Cr 31.1.28 I do not think that the Govt are called upon or competent to discuss the validity of the Resolution passed by the Municipal Council Prima facie it is a correct representation of the view of the majority of the Municipal Council and Govt are at liberty to deal with it on its merits

6 It seems to me expedient to continue the payment of an allowance of Rs 250 to the President as heretofore without enhancement as contemplated in the Resolution or necessary at all the present majority wanted it so

7 Do Legal Remembrancer etc

Note—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No 169 of 1927

Serial No

Compliments for favour of perusal

Mr

30/8

9 According to the notice issued by Govt Krishnamurthi Advocate, it is clear that the client intends to test the validity of the proceedings of the municipal Council in a Court of Law Hence Govt are not called upon to decide the question raised by Mr Subramanyam

9 In this view of the case I agree with the Chief Secretary that Govt may deal with the merits of the resolution re allowance of Rs 2 to be paid to the President

10 Under Sec 28 (1) remuneration to a President has to be sanctioned by the Council with the approval of Govt Under the power vested in Govt under Sec 177 (1), remuneration proposed to a President may be reduced if the Govt consider it expedient to regard policy to be adopted as laid down, Chief Secretary's memo permitted transfer to Govt the powers of the Council (Flag C) where Govt had Done as follows:- "to regards allowances to a matter entirely within the powers of the Council to fix"

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 6331—2PB—30,000—31-5-26

3510 5 6

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 1629 of 1927

Serial No

N S OFFICE. rec'd on FEB. 1928 1423

CHIEF SECRETARY'S 4 FEB 1928

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 6 FEB 1928 No 446

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 9 FEB. 1928 No 7608

This was the policy adopted in fixing Rs 200/- as allowance on the last occasion when the question came up for consideration

Chief Secy
with Compts

11 Hon'ble Member

Please

7/2/28

12) I agree with the Chief Secy—

7-2-28

Subject to a draft Govt

(Hon'ble Member)

7/2

6

7/2

7 L 4593-4 dt 10/2/28
The Board concurs in the Public
Works Member's
Sanctions an allowance of Rs
p m

B

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mil File No 169 of 1927

Serial No	
9	Letter no C, 525 dt 22nd Feb 1928 from the Mil Commissr Bangalore enclg thereto the Presidency ~~requesting~~ stating that the Auditor, during the audit of the allowance bills of the President has ~~observed~~ requested that sanction of Govt may be obtained for treating the allowance as Conveyance allowance in the G O of 10th Feb 1928 and ~~passing~~ soliciting early orders of Govt in the matter

Draft G O in the matter submitted for orders

Ch 1/3/28

The Hon'ble Council ~~was~~ recommended the grant of allowance & did not say that it was meant as Conveyance allowance, though in the memorandum, copied by post as per D dated 9/9/27 it was stated that the question related only to the grant of Conveyance allowance

NO

NOTE—Contn sheet

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No 169 of 1927

Serial No

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
13 MAR 1928
Reg No 5780

CHIEF SEC ETARY
8 MAR 1928

The Municipal Code 23(11) says that the Deputy or Vice President who is not a full time may receive such monthly salary or allowance as the Commissioner may sanction

The Commissioner has not given his sanction to be paid

Chairman 8/3

I do not quite see the point of the objection or of this paper. Please check

Secy 6/3

Dep. Secy as directed

Chairman 6/3

CHIEF SECRETARY
7 MAR 1928

Secy 8/3

Other Members

OFFICE OF THE
TOWN CLERK OF CALCUTTA
8 MAR 1928
No. 5722

Secy 9/3

Secy 10/3

Secy

13/3

Secy 14/3

Vice-Chairman 15/3

NOTE—Contin sheet.

WD 6881—GPB—50,000—24-8-27

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 169 of 1927

Serial No 11

d/5866 d/ 15/2/28
The Comptroller
Do reels that the allowance
may be treated as Conveyance
allowance
Copy to Mil Com

B

WD 0324—GPB—60 [redacted]

KRISHNAMURTI, B A LL B
ADVOCATE

COTTONPET (Near By Ticket Office)

Bangalore City 22-Jan- 1923

OFFICE OF TH
27 JAN
No. 7171

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore Bangalore

Sir

I bring to your kind notice that this day I have issued a notice to the President City Municipal Council under Section 167 of the MunicipalRegulation a true copy of which is enclosed herewith

Yours faithfully
Krishnamurti
Advocate
22nd Jany 28

M. KRISHNAMURTI, B A LL B
AND
P BHEEMA RAO B A LL B
ADVOCATES
[illegible]

Cottonpet (Near City Ry Booking Office)

Bangalore City 22-1- 1928

To

The President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

Sir,

Under instructions from our client Mr P Subbarama Chetty Municipal Councillor, Second Division, Bangalore City, and under Section 167 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, we hereby inform you

1 That the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council under your presidency on 16th January 1928 pertaining to the resolution of Mr B D Naidu to the effect "an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be sanctioned to the President with effect from the date of his assuming office and the same be in force during the life time of this Council," are illegal, null and void for the following reasons among others -

(a) the meeting of the 16th January 1928 was only an adjourned meeting for the consideration of item No 25, of the printed agenda of the previous meeting held on - 7th January 1928 and as such the proceedings should have been commenced from the point where it was left at the said previous meeting,

(b) that during the said previous meeting the said subject No 25 was being discussed and a number of Municipal Councillors had taken part in its discussion, the -

Forwarded with compliments.
I am sending it to you for the needful
Honorary President. 22/1/28

the said subject having been advanced by the consent of the House,

(b) that so far as the proposition of Mr B D Naidu was concerned it was a totally new and fresh one and as such re uired ten days previous notice under the Regulation during the adjourned meeting and that the want of due service of notice of the said resolution of Mr B.D. Naidu affected the proceedings of the Council prejudicially, the members having been taken by surprise not only in respect of the nature of the allowance but also the quantum thereof,

(d) that item No 25 contemplated only Conveyance allowance and that allowance was for an amount of Rs 250/- per mensem,

(e) that the ruling of the President that the minutes of the previous meeting viz , the 7th Januar, 1928 under the presidency of Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao need not be read and recorded was beyong the President's powers and is ultra vires

(f) that the President having on the 7th instant vacated the chair on the ground of his interest in the question of Conveyance Allowance in conformity with - the convention in the Municipal Council and also the - spirit of the Municipal Regulation, he should not have

assumed

assumed the Chairmanship when the subject was to be continued and disposed of on the 16th instant further the president has erred in not permitting the second adjournment motion of Mr G B [redacted] Raghavendrachar to be voted upon, and

(g) that the president has also erred in not permitting the discussion of an adjournment motion of Mr Narasinga Rao who urged that the question of consideration of the allowance be postponed pending the disposal of the question whether the President had executive functions or not That the aforesaid rulings have been given mala fide with the set purpose of rushing through the resolution of Mr B.D Naidu and with the deliberate object of securing a higher sum as allowance for himself

2 Please therefore take notice that our client within a month after the receipt of this notice by you will file a suit before the proper tribunal against you and the Municipal Council for a declaration that the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council held on the 16th January 1923 are illegal, null, and void and to obtain a permanent injunction restraining the Municipality from taking any further steps in respect of the said proceedings and to recover costs

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]
Advocate 22/1/23

5

Urgent

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City Dated 23rd Jan. 1928

From

T GOVINDA ROW Esq
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER CITY MUNCIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

To

M MADHAVA RAO, Esq, B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose for the information of Government ~~copy of~~ a notice issued to the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, under Section 167 of the Municipal Regulation and sent to me by Mr Mokshagundam Krishnamurti, B A, LL B, Advocate, Bangalo e City stating among other matters that his client Mr P Subbarama Chetty, Municipal Councillor, will file a suit before the proper tribunal against the President and the City Municipal Council, Bangalore for a declaration that the proceedings of the Municipal Council meeting held on the 16th January 1928 are illegal, null and void and to obtain a permanent injunction restraining the Municipality from taking any further steps in respect of the said proceedings and to recover costs

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments.
Honorary President.

Note
As the President has refused to sign this Communication, I am sending it to Stock with the Records
25/28

This letter presupposes the fact that the proceedings of the Council meeting referred to in the notice have been submitted to Govt., while as a matter of fact they have not been submitted so far to date, although (9) more days have elapsed since the Council passed a resolution in the matter of granting allowance & the Municipal President the obligation to Govt of the resolution should have taken precedence over this letter ~~request~~. The Commissioner is requested to kindly submit to Govt the Proceedings referred to in the notice at least now, as otherwise the present letter would be incomplete - Thanking you -

The notice referred to in the letter has not been attached to it.

Mohammad Ahmad Khan

The original was sent to President of Pakistan Bank 25/1/28
[illegible] City and Industrial
[illegible]
[illegible]

I am sorry to differ from the view taken by the President. It is the duty of every Public officer to keep Govt promptly informed of contemplated legal proceedings as soon as a Notice is received.

Under Sec 80 of the Municipal Regulation it is not open to the President

Is offer remarks on
Communications addressed
to Govt by the Commr
or on Communications
addressed by the
Govt to Commr.

Ly
1538

PJ
I understand that the
original letter rec'd by the
Commr was sent to the
President yesterday & that
it has not been returned
to the office Ly
25 I 38

Returned separately
25/1/38

Copies of notices of the nature sent
on the Municipality have never so far

been forwarded to Government
Nor even intimation of them recd
sent to Govt. During the last many
years several notices claiming
even life damages against the Municipality
have never been notified to Govt
Why special prominence should
be sought to be given to the
particular notice by the Commissioner
is not clear All the same
I have no where stated or even
suggested that the letter will not
be forwarded to Govt. I only wanted
that the Resolution of the Council
meeting referred to in the notice,
which should under Sec 24a (4)
of the Municipal Regulation have
been forwarded long before this,
should at least go now, so that
the position may be correctly
understood by the Govt I entirely
differ from the Municipal Commr
on the view he takes of the law
as codified in Sec 24a(4) of the
Regulation.

The Resolution of the Municipal Council
referred to above should be sent up to Govt for
its information and orders. On the particular
point mentioned by me the Commissioner

has not taken any action for over a month
in his note. He later on accordingly
in meeting dated 4/12/25 Govt
To Govt
Asst Commissioner Salem
25/1/26

"GORSHAGUNDAM"
KRISHNAMURTI, B A., LL.B.
ADVOCATE.

COTTONPET (Near Ry. Ticket Office)

Bangalore City 22-1- 1928

To

The Commissioner,
City Municipality, Bangalore

Sir,

Enclosed please find a true copy of a notice issued to the President under Section 167, Municipal Regulation for your information

3504
11

KRISHNAMURTI, B A, LL B
AND
BHEEMA RAO B A LL B
ADVOCATES
[illegible]
[illegible]

Cottonpet (Near City Ry Booking Office)
Bangalore City 22nd January 1928

Hcopies

To

The President
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore

Sir,

Under instructions from our client Mr P Subbarama Chetty Municipal Councillor, Second Division, Bangalore City, and under Section 167 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, we hereby inform you

1 That the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council under your presidency on 16th January 1928 pertaining to the resolution of Mr B D Naidu to the effect an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be sanctioned to the President with effect from the date of his assuming office and the same be in - force during the life time of this Council, are illegal, null and void for the following reasons among others -

(a) the meeting of the 16th January 1928 was only an adjourned meeting for the consideration of item No 25, of the printed agenda of the previous meeting held on 7th January 1928 and as such the proceedings should have been commenced from the point where it was left at the said previous meeting,

(b) that during the said previous meeting the said subject No 25 was being discussed and a number of Municipal Councillors had taken part in its discussion, the

Faithfully,

Advocate 22/1/28

the said subject having been advanced by the consent of the House,

(b) that so far as the proposition of Mr B D Naidu was concerned it was a totally new and fresh one and as such required ten days previous notice under the Regulation during the adjourned meeting and that the want of due service of notice of the said resolution of Mr B.D. Naidu affected the proceedings of the Council prejudicially, the members having been taken by surprise not only in respect of the nature of the allowance but also the quantum thereof,

(d) that item No 25 contemplated only Conveyance allowance and that allowance was for an amount of Rs 250/- per mensem

(e) that the ruling of the President that the minutes of the previous meeting viz , the 7th January 1928 under the presidency of Rao Bahadur Dr C.B Rama Rao need not be read and recorded was beyong the President's powers and is ultra vires,

(f) that the President having on the 7th instant vacated the chair on the ground of his interest in the question of Conveyance Allowance in conformity with the convention in the Municipal Council and also the spirit of the Municipal Regulation, he should not have

assumed

14 3510L
30-4-28

No C-1-472 of 27-28

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City Dated 27th Jan. 1928

13

3

assumed the Chairmanship when the subject was to be continued and disposed of on the 16th instant Further the president has erred in not permitting the second adjournment motion of Mr G B Raghavendrachar to be voted upon, and

(g) that the president has also erred in not permitting the discussion of an adjournment motion of Mr Narasinga Rao who urged that the question of consideration of the allowance be postponed pending the disposal of the question whether the President had executive functions or not That the aforesaid rulings have been given mala fide with the set purpose of rushing through the resolution of Mr B D Naidu and with the deliberate object of securing a higher sum as allowance for himself

2 Please therefore take notice that our client within a month after the receipt of this notice by you will file a suit before the proper tribunal against you and the Municipal Council for a declaration that the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council held on the 16th January 1928 are illegal null, and void and to obtain a permanent injunction restraining the Municipality from taking any further steps in respect of the said proceedings and to recover costs

Yours faithfully,

Advocate 22/1/28

14 - 3510 L
30-1-28

No. C-1-472 of 27-28

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City Dated 27th Jan, 1928

encl list

encl 169-27-5

From

T GOVINDA ROW, Esq.
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER CITY MUNCIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

To

N MADHAVA RAO, Esq, B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Governmentof
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith for orders of Government extracts of the proceedings of the meetings of the City Municipal Council, Bangalore, held on the 7th and 16th instants relating to the grant of an allowance to Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan, President of the Council The subject was included in the agenda for December 1927

2 The Council at the meeting held on the 16th instant resolved that an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be sanctioned to the President ith effect from the date of his assuming office and these proceedings were confirmed by the Council on the 23rd idem

3 In this connection, I may invite the attention of Government to their order No L 2481-92/M1 73-24-2 dated 20-9-1924 laying down instructions for the grant of Conveyance Allowance to Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Municipal Councils

I have thehonour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
T Govinda Rao
Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments
Mohammed Abbas Khan
Honorary President

(3) ಮು।ರಾ।ಬ ತಿಹಾಯುತುಯವಳ ತರಾವಾಬರೊ ಅದು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರೊಸು ವಿಷಯ(ಸಲಹೆ)ರವಾಗಿತ್ತು ಪ್ರಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಶಿಸ್ಟುಲೇಷನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈತ್ತುದಿನಗಳ ಪೂರ್ವದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಪ್ರತಿ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು ಅದೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮು।ರಾ।ಬ ತಿ ತಾಯುತುಮು ವರು ತಮ್ಮ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂಚಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸದೇ ಇದ್ದುದರಿಂದಲೂ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ, ಕರಿಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಚೇತಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದ ತ್ರಿ ವಿಷಯವು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಯ ವನ್ನೂಂಟುಮಾಡುವಷ್ಟು ಮಹತ್ವವಾಗಿ ಬಂದುದರಿಂದಲೂ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಗಳು ಸುರಿಯಾದ ನಿರ್ಣಯಕ್ಕೆ ಬರಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಪ್ರೋಯಿತು

(4) 25 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ವಿಷಯವಾದರೋ (ಪನ್ಸೇಯುನ್ಸ್ ಅಲೋಯನ್ಸ್) ತಾರುನದ ಭತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅನ್ವಯಿಸುವುದಾಗಿತ್ತು ಆ ಭತ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಪುಪಾತ ೨೬೦೦ ರು ಹಾಯಿಗಳ್ಳು ಮಾತ್ರ

(5) ಮಿಂದಣ ಮೀಪಂಗಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಣೆಯು (Minutes) ಅಂದರೆ— ಮು।ರಾ। ರಾವ್ ಬಹದ್ದುರ್ ತಾರ್ಕಡೆ ನಿ ಬ ತಾಪುರಾಯಲೆವರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ಯಲ್ಲಿ 1928 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ 7ನೇ ತಾರೀಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಮೀಪಂಗಿನ ಕಟ್ಟಣೆಯು ಓದಿ ಧೃಡೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗಬೆಂದು ತಾವು ಪೊಪ್ಪ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೀರಿದ್ದಾಗಿತ್ತು

(6) ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಶಿಸ್ಟುಲೇಷನ್ ಬದ್ಧೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಯೂ, ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಕೂಡಿ ಅಥವಾ ಕಡ್ಡತಿಗೆ ಅನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಯೂ, ತಾವು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ವಿಷಯವು ಕ್ರಿಮಿನಲ್ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಭಂಗಬರಬಹುದಾದ್ದರಿಂದ 7ನೇ ತಾರೀಕಿನ ಮೀಪಂಗಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಟ್ಟಾಗ ಪುನಃ 16ನೇ ತಾರೀಕಿನದಿನ ಅದೇ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಮುಂದರಿಸಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಲೇಡಾ ಗಿದ್ದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಅಗ್ರಾಸನವನ್ನು ವಹಿಸುವುದಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ರಾಷ್ಟ್ರವೇಂದ್ರಾಚಾರ್ಯರವರು ತಂದ ನಿರ್ಣಯದ ಅಕ್ಷರಕ್ಕೆ ನೋಡಿ ಸಲಹೆಯನ್ನು

ತುಂಗೆಪಾರಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೇ ಹೋದದ್ದು ಶುದ್ಧ ತಪ್ಪು

(೨) "ಪ್ರಕೃತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾಂಗುಕಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ (ಎಗ್ಜುಕ್ಯೂಟಿವ್ ಪವರ್) ಅಧಿಕಾರವು ಇದೆ ಅಧಾನ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂ ವಿಷಯವು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗುವವರೆಗೂ, ವಾಹನದ ಭ್ರಷ್ಟಾಚಾರವನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗುವ ಪುದು ಮಾನಸಿಕಮಾತ್ರವೆಂದು ಸು।ಕಾ।। ಶಿ ಎಸ್ ನರಸಿಂಗರಾಯರು ತಂದ ಹಿಯನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲು ಕಾವ್ಯ ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೋದದ್ದು ಸಹಜ ತಪ್ಪೇ ನೀಲಿ ಸರಿಯಾದಿರುವ ಕಮ್ಮ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಭಕ್ಷವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಹೊಂದುವ ಅಡ್ಡಿಗಳಿಂದ ಬಿ ತಿ ನಾಯಕುಂಟುವರ ನಿದ್ಧಾರಣವನ್ನು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆವಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಾಗಿದೆ

ಈ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ನಾನು ನಿಮ್ಮವೇಲೂ, ಮುಚ್ಚಿನಿಖಿಲ್ ಕಾನೆಸಿಲ ನಮೇಲೂ ೧೨೫ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ೧೬ನೇ ತಾರೀಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ವೀಳಂಗನ ಪ್ರೊಸಿಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಬದ್ಧವುಗಳಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ, ನಿರರ್ಥಕವಾದವುಗಳೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಕಿಸಲು ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೇರಿದ ತಿಂಬಿನಳಗೆ ಬಳಿಗಾಗಿ ನೋಟೀಸಲ್ಲಿ ತ್ರಿಸಿದ ದಾವಾ ದಾಖಲ್ ಮಾಡುವಿದ್ದೆಂದೂ, ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತರದಹಾಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಕಾಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಇಂಜಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಸಹ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಯಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಭವದೀಯರಲ್ಲಿ — ಶುಭ್ವನೇರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರ್ಯ
ವೇದಪ್ರಚಾರಕ

ಇ ವರ್ತಮಾನವು ಶುಭ್ರಾಯರಿಗೆ
ಪ್ರತಿ
ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳ್ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಬ್ಲಾಕ್ ಶಿವಪುರ ಮಾರ್ಗವಾಗಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ
ತಲಾಲಿಂದ ಶುಭ ಭಾನುವಾರ 31/11/28

No 44

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 7TH JANUARY 1928, AT 12 NOON IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF THE SUBJECTS ON THE AGENDA DATED 24TH NOVEMBER 1927 FOR DECEMBER 1927 MEETING

PRESENT -

Khan Bahadur Mohommed Abbas Khan, Esq ,
President (In the Chair)

COUNCILLORS

B D Naidu,
* W. i Hanumanthappa,
* P Subbarama Chetty,
* K Nettakallappa
* B.K Garudachar,
* S N M Razvi
* Hoskote Bhadrappa
* G S ddaveerappa,
* M C Chicknanjundappa,
* M Munis wamy,
* G B Raghavendrachar, B A ,
* D Gopalakrishna Rao,
* C.N Naras nga Rao, B A , B L ,
13 Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao, M D ,
14 Mr A Madhavlengar,
15 " S Venkatarangiengar,
16 " N Balakrishniah, B A , LL B
17 " V Vajapey
18 " J R Isaac, B A , M B E ,
19 " M Royan,
20 " Desamukh S Govinda Rao,
21 Capt Y V Ayya,
22 Mr Syed Abdul Khader,
23 " B Kempanna,
24 " Salagram Narayana Rao,
25 " D Venkataramanappa,
26 Mr Chickmunisawmissa

ABSENT

r Balur Srin Wasiengar, B A , B L , 2 Mr C K Mutchappa

---

* * * * * * * * * *

The Council then rose for lunch at 3 P M

The Council resumed sittings at 3 40 P M

* * * * * * * * * *

Mr Y V Ayya proposed that subject No 25 on the Agenda for December 1927, re the Conveyance allowance to be paid to the --- elected President be advanced and taken up for discussion The House accepted it and the subject was taken up

At this stage, Khan Bahadur Mohommed Abbas Khan, President, vacated the Chair and left the Council Chamber

Sd Mohommed Abbas Khan,
President

Mr G B Raghavendrachar proposed and Mr W H Hanumanthapp seconded that Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao do take the Chair

Captain Y V Ayya proposed and Mr B Kempanna seconded that Mr B D Naidu do take the chair

19

The first proposition was put to vote and carried as 13 -- voted 'For' and 11 'Against' it

Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao then took the Chair

Mr C N Narasinga Rao proposed that no conveyance allowance be given to the President or that the consideration of the question be deferred till the practice prevailing in Madras, and Bombay is ascertained and placed before Council

Mr P Subbarama Chetty seconded the proposition that no conveyance allowance need be granted to the President

The subject was being discussed by other members, when Mr M Royan proposed and Mr B Kempanna seconded that the meeting be adjourned, as it was 5 P M

The motion for adjournment was put to vote and carried 14 voting 'For' and 9 'Against'

The meeting was accordingly adjourned to a day to be fixed later on and intimated

Sd C B Rama Rao
Chairman

"C o p y"

For Municipal Commissioner

No. 45

OF AN ADJOURNED GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON MONDAY THE 16TH JANUARY 1928, At 4 P M IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER

PRESENT

Khan Bahadur Mohammed Abbaskhan, (Honorary President)

COUNCILLORS

r B D.Naidu,	15 Mr C N Narasinga Rao,B A ,B L
" W.H Hanumanthappa,	16 Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao,L D ,
" Belur Srinivasaiengar,B A ,B L ,	17 Mr A Madhavaiengar,B A ,
" P Subbarama Chetty,	18 " S Venkatarangaiengar
" K Nettakallappa,	19 " N Balakrishnaiya,B A ,LL B ,
" B.K Garudachar,	20 " V Vajapey
" S N M Rasvi,	21 " J R Isaac,B A , B L ,
" Hoskote Bhadrappa,	22 " M Royan
" C.k.Hutchappa,	23 " Desamukh C Govinda Rao
" G Siddaveerappa,	24.Capt Y V Ayya,
" M C Chicknanjundappa,	25 Mr Syed Abdul Khader
" M Muniswamy,	26 " B Kempanna,
" G B Raghavendrachar,B A ,	27 " Saligram Narayana Rao,
" D Gopalakrishna Rao,	28 " D Venkataramanappa,
	29 Mr Chickmunisawmisa

X X X X X X X X

The proceedings of the meeting dated 7th January 1928 were read from the beginning up to the time when the President vacated the Chair When the proceedings relating to the business transacted under the Chairmanship of Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao were taken up for reading, Mr N Balakrishniah raised a point of order that the proceedings relating to - that portion of the business be not read and recorded as the meeting -- held under the Chairmanship of Dr C B Rama Rao was not legally constituted, for the following reasons ---

(1) When the names of 2 members of the Council were duly proposed and seconded to occupy the Chair, a third member of the Council ~~shall~~ should have occupied the Chair temporarily to decide by taking votes as to who should preside

(2) the resolution moved by Captain Y V Ayya and seconded by Dr Royan to the effect that Mr F Nettakallappa do occupy the Chair was not given effect to

(3) when the names of 2 gentlemen had been duly proposed and seconded votes should have been recorded by ballot while as a matter of - fact the name of Rao Bahadur Dr C B Rama Rao was put to the vote ignoring totally the name of Mr B D Naidu

Mr C N Narasinga Rao raised a point of order that the objection cannot be taken at this stage of the meeting

of order raised by Mr N on

The President gave a ruling to Mr. C.N. Narasinga Rao's object that the point raised by Mr. Balakrishniah to the effect that the proceedings relating to the business transacted under the Chairmanship Dr. C.R Rama Rao be not read at this meeting was in order

Before giving the ruling on the point of order raised by Mr. N.Balakrishniah, the President invited the opinion of the members present to give their opinion

Mr. C. N. Narasimha Rao enquired whether the President was going to take votes on this point of order. The President quoted rule 5 of the rules of business of Council ---- meeting and stated that the point of order will not be put to the vote but it will be decided by him.

Messrs. G. R. Raghavendrachar and S.N.L. Rauvi held opposite views Mr. S.N.L. Rauvi held that this objection should have been taken on the day of the meeting itself.

Mr. G. R. Raghavendrachar quoted Sections 26 (2) and 36 (3) of the Municipal Regulation. When Mr. J. M. Hanumanthappa wanted to speak, Mr. R. D. Naidu wanted the President to give a ruling at once or to allow every body to speak.

The President agreed with the point of order raised by Mr. N. Balakrishniah and ruled that the minutes of the proceedings in question be not read and recorded.

Sd. Mohamed Abbas Khan.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao raised a point of order to the -- effect that since the last meeting was adjourned with Dr. C.R. Rama Rao in the Chair, when the Council was half-way through a particular subject and since this is an adjourned meeting to -- consider the unfinished of subjects of that meeting, the President must vacate the chair and Dr. C. R. Rama Rao continue the business of the day from that point.

Mr. S.N.L. Rauvi was of opinion that since the proceedings of the meeting dated 7th January 1928, presided over by Dr. C.R. Rama Rao were not read and not recorded, those proceedings are to be considered as invalid and that in case the President --- should vacate the Chair this day also there must be a fresh --- election of the Chairman to-day.

Mr. J. M. Hanumanthappa observed that the President had authority to give the ruling and that the meeting should be;

from

S. O.

Government of his highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. L. 7137-38—Ml. 284-29-2, dated 5th April 1930.

Conveyance Allowance.

Sanctions a —— of Rs. 100 per mensem to the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council

---

READ—

Government Order No. L. 2481-92—Ml. 73-24-2, dated the 20th September 1924 stating that the Conveyance Allowance to be granted to Presidents and Vice-Presidents of the City Municipalities will be regulated by special orders that may be issued from time to time in each case.

2. Government Order No. L. 4591-4—Ml 169-27 7, dated the 10th February 1928 directing that the allowance payable to the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Council during the present term of the Council be kept at Rs. 250 per mensem

3 Letter No. G. To. 190, dated 1st February 1930, from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality, soliciting sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council, dated the 15th February 1930, that a sum of Rs. 100 per month be sanctioned as Conveyance Allowance to the President instead of Rs. 250 per mensem sanctioned in the Government Order read above

ORDER No. L 7137-38—ML 284-29-2, DATED 5TH APRIL 1930

The resolution of the Municipal Council is sanctioned.

N MADHAVA RAO,
Chief Secretary to Government.

To—The Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, through the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to Government.

ED—P.S.R.N

WD 2224—GPS—425—10-4-30.

from the point when it was left last time and automatically Dr B. Rama Rao should have taken the Chair

The President gave a Ruling that there is no rule of law that the President should vacate the Chair and that the Meeting do take up the question of the consideration of the allowance to be sanctioned to the President since that question was not decided at the last meeting.

When the President wanted to vacate the Chair, Messrs G Siddaveerappa, B Kempanna, M Boyan, Y V Ayya, B D Naidu and Chikmanjundappa stated that the President need not vacate the --- Chair

Mr Belur Srinivasiengar said that it is entirely left to the good sense and discretion of the President to vacate or not to --- vacate the Chair when the question of the allowance is taken up

Mr C N. Narasinga Rao raised a point of order that as the -- proceedings of the previous day were not vitiated, the meeting do begin from the point where it stopped last time

The President gave a ruling that the subject be taken afresh

Subject No 25 on the Agenda regarding the Conveyance allowance to be paid to the President was taken up

The President informed the house that he had received a written proposition from Mr B D Naidu and that he would permit him to move the same

Mr B D Naidu proposed and Mr Y V Ayya and Mr Hoskote Bhadrappa seconded that,

"an allowance of Rs 300/- per mensem be sanctioned to the President with effect from the date of his assuming Office and the same be in force during the life-time of this Council

Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar urged that subject No 25 on the printed agenda is for discussion, that the wording "'the' Conveyance allowance" meant the sum of Rs 250/- which was being paid should be paid or not and that the proposition which is now for the first time read by the President being without 7 days' clear notice, i

not in order and should not be discussed now in preference to subject No. 25 and that they are perfectly at liberty to bring it by way of an amendment.

Mr W. H Hanumanthappa said that it was a new proposition, not circulated, comes up on the agenda all on a sudden, now attempted to be moved and seconded and that it should not be allowed to be discussed until 7 clear days' notice is given

The President gave a ruling that since the question of the consideration of the Conveyance allowance to be paid to the President is included in the agenda dated 24th November 1927, the proposition now moved is quite in order and that it is open to the Council to move amendments to reduce it or to decide not to grant it

Mr. G B Raghavendrachar raised a point of order that as this is an adjourned meeting no new subject can be taken at an adjourned meeting and even if it is admitted that this is not a new subject,, ubject o 25 in the printed agenda has not been taken up in preference to other subjects, for no definite proposal was made to advance it and neither votes were taken nor the house gave its consent and that even if the subject had been taken, some one should have moved that Rs 250/- should be given and it should be duly seconded and this might have been taken as an amendment

The President gave a ruling that the proposition of Mr B D Naidu was quite in order

Mr Belur Srinivasiengar proposed that subject No 25 as printed in the agenda, i e , 'Consideration of <u>the Conveyanc</u> allowance' be taken up The President gave a ruling that it is the identical subject moved by Mr B D Naidu referred to by Mr Belur Srinivasiengar that is under consideration and he is quite welcome to move any amendment to the resolution before the ~~the~~ house.

At this stage Mr ~~Mr~~ G B Raghavendrachar moved the -- adjournment of the house Mr W H Hanumanthappa seconded it

The proposition was put to votes and lost, as 14 voted 'For' and 15 'Against' it.

Messrs. G B Raghavendrachar and C.N Narasinga Rao demanded a poll.

'For'	'Against'
1 Mr S Venkataranga Iengar,	1 The President,
2 Dr C B Rama Rao,	2 Mr M C Chicknanjundappa,
3 Mr B.K Garudachar,	3 " D Venkataramanappa,
4 " B.Gopalakrishna Rao,	4 " N Balakrishnaiya,
5 " S.N M Razvi,	5 " H Bhadrappa,
6 " Belur Srinivasi engar,	6 " K Nettakallappa,
7 " V Vajapey,	7 " C K Hutchappa,
8 " W.H Hanumanthappa,	8 " B Yempanna,
9 " C.N.Narasinga Rao,	9 " M Muni swamy,
10 " P Subbarama Chetty,	10 " B D Naidu,
11. " Chickmunisawmisa,	11 Capt Y V Ayya,
12 " A Madhaviengar,	12 Mr L Reyan,
13 " S Narayana Rao,	13 " J R Isaac,
14 " G.B. Raghavendrachar,	14 " Syed Abdul Khader,
	15 " G Siddaveerappa

(Mr D S Govinda Rao did not vote)

Mr C N. Narasinga Rao wanted to move that the subject be adjourned pending decision of the question whether the President has executive funetions The President ruled that as the adjournment of the house has been negatived and as it has been decided to take up the subject for consideration at this meeting, his motion cannot be admitted

Mr S N M Razvi proposed an amendment that the figure "Rs150" be substituted for the figure "Rs 300" in the proposition of Mr B D Naidu The amendment was seconded by Mr P Subbarama Chetty The amendment was put to vote and lost as 13 voted 'For' and 15 -- 'Against'

Mr G B Raghavendrachar and Mr C N Narasinga Rao demanded a poll :--

The following voted 'For' the amendment.:--

1 Mr D.S Govinda Rao, 2 Mr G B Raghavendrachar, 3 Mr S Narayana Rao, 4 Mr A Madhaviengar, 5 Mr Chickmunisawmisa, 6 Mr P Subbarama Chetty, 7 Mr C N Narasinga Rao, 8 Mr W H Hanumanthappa, 9 Mr. V Vajapey, 10 Mr. Belur Srinivasi engar,

26

11. Mr. S.N.M Razvi, 12 Mr D Gopalakrishna Rao, and 13 Mr B K Garudachar.

The following voted 'Against' :--- 1 The President, 2 Mr G Siddaveerappa, 3 Mr. Syed Abdul Khader, 4 Mr J R Isaac, 5 Mr M. Reyam, 6. Mr. Y V Ayya, 7 Mr B. D. Naidu, 8 Mr M. Muniswamy, 9. Mr. B Kempanna, 10 Mr C K. Hutchappa, 11 Mr H Bhadrappa, 12. Mr K Nettakallappa, 13 Mr M Balakrishniah, 14. Mr D Venkataramanappa and 15. Mr M C Chieknanjundappa

The original proposition of Mr B D Naidu was put to vote and carried 15 voting 'For' and 11 'Against' Messrs G B Raghavendrachar and C N Narasinga Rao demanded a poll

"For"	"Against"
1 Mr G Siddaveerappa,	1 Mr G B Raghavendrachar,
2. " Syed Abdul Khader,	2 " A Madhava Iengar,
3 " J R Isaac,	3 " Chiekmuni sawmisa,
4 " M Reyam,	4 " P Subbarama Chetty,
5 " Y V Ayya,	5 " C N Narasinga Rao,
6. " B D.Naidu,	6 " H Hanumanthappa,
7 " M Muniswamy,	7 " V Vajapey
8 " B Kempanna,	8 " Belur Srinivasiengar,
9 " C.K.Hutchappa,	9 " S M Razvi
10 " K.Nettakallappa,	10 " D Gopalakrishna Rao,
11 " H.Bhadrappa,	11 " B K Garudachar
12 " M Balakrishniah,	
13. " D Venkataramanappa,	"Neutrals"
14. " Chieknanjundappa,	1 Mr D S Govinda Rao,
15 The President	2 " S Narayana Rao

Sd Mohamed Abbas Khan,

Honorary President

"C o p y"

Mr Govinda Rao

For Municipal Commissioner

ab/131/28

Allowance to the President, Bangalore City Municipality

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

---

Read -

Q

G.O. No 6429-30/M.D.-1, dated 5th March 1926 approving of the recommendation of the Bangalore City Municipal Council to grant an allowance of Rs 200/- per month to the President of the Council

2. Letter No. L-472 dated 16th January 1928 from the Commissioner, Bangalore City, municipal Council forwarding a copy of the resolution passed at a meeting of the Council held on the 16th January 1928 recommending the grant of an allowance of Rs 500/- per mensem to the President of the re-constituted Council, with effect from the date of his assuming office and to be in force during the life-time of the present Council

---

Order No L4593-4/ml 169-27-7
date 9 2 28

Government do not see the necessity for any change in the rate of allowance paid to the President -- Bangalore City Municipality ~~THEY~~ They are, therefore pleased to --- approve of the payment to the said allowance during the present term of

See the absence of any circumstances calling for a change in the respect, Govt direct that the allowance payable to the President of the Bangalore Municipality, during the present term of the council, be kept at Rs 250/- per mensem as heretofore.

27

Mr Abbas Khan as President at the same rate as before, viz , Rs 250/- per mensem

Chief Secretary to Govt

To

The Commissioner, B C M (Tn r'o the President)
The Comptroller to Government

28

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA Or MYSORE

Read —

Government Order No.G4280-30/ML.73-34-6 dated, the 8th March 1935 approving of the recommendation of the Bangalore City Municipal Council to grant an allowance of Rs.350/- per month to the President of the Council.

2. Letter No.C.1-472 dated, the 28th January 1938, from the Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipal Council, forwarding a copy of the Resolution passed at a meeting of the Council held on the 16th January 1938 recommending the grant of an allowance of Rs.300/- per mensem to the President of the re-constituted Council, with effect from the date of his assuming Office and to be in force during the life-time of the present Council.

ORDER NO.L. 45-93-41 ML 164-23-7

Dated, Bangalore, the 10th February 1938.

In the absence of any circumstances calling for a change in this respect, Government direct that the allowance payable to the President of the Bangalore City Municipality during the present term of the Council be kept at Rs.350/- per mensem as heretofore.

for Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality,
THROUGH the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

K.H.R.S.
10/2/38.

29

No C 1525/27-28

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Bangalore City Dated 22nd Feb. 1928

40 1/L
29 2 28

From

T GOVINDA ROW Esq
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER CITY MUNCIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

4/28 Gen

me 169-27-9

To

N Madhava Rao, Esq B A, B L,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore

Sir,

Referring to Government Order No L 4593-4 Ml 169-27-7 dated 10th February 1928 directing that in the absence of any circumstances calling for a change the allowance payable to the President Bangalore City Municipality during the present term of the Council be kept at Rs 250/- per mensem as heretofore, I have the honour to state that the Auditor of the Comptroller's Office in pre-auditing the allowance bills of the President for the months of December and January last states that Government sanction may be obtained for treating the allowance as "Conveyance Allowance" as the Government Order referred to states merely "allowance" According to Government Order No L 2481-92/Ml 73-24-2 dated 20th September 1924 -- laying down instructions for the grant of allowances to Presidents and Vice-Presidents, of Municipal Councils, the allowance has to be treated as Conveyance allowance Though this was pointed out to the Auditor he has passed the bills provisionally with the observation that Government sanction might be obtained for treating the allowances as Conveyance allowance I request early orders of the Government in the matter

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
T Govinda Row
Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments
Mohammed Abdul Khan
President.

L 5666/ me 169-27-11
Enc 1

PWD

The Comptroller to Government
Bangalore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of letter No C1 525/27-28 dated the 22nd February 1928, from the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City -- received through the President of the Municipal Council in regard to the allowance sanctioned to the President during the present term of the Council I am directed to state that the ~~allowance~~ allowance sanctioned in G O No L 4593-Ml 169-27-7 dated, the 10th February 1928 was meant to be conveyance allowance and may be treated accordingly

I have etc,

DRAFT) [illegible]
Chief Secy to Govt

Memo No L 5667 & 15-3-1928
Dated Bangalore the 15 March 1928

Copy of the above, is forwarde

3/28

to

to the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City,
Through the President, City Municipal Council,
Bangalore, for information

By Order,

DRAFT)

Chief Secry to Govt.

K M R 1
12/3